

<b>Course:</b>	ECON 370 001 - Benefit-Cost Analysis and the Economics of Project Evaluatio	<b>Department:</b>	ECON
<b>Responsible Faculty:</b>	Clive Chapple	<b>Responses / Expected:</b>	33 / 112 (29.46%)

*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions*		Hicks, Jeffrey									
		Responses					Individual				
		SD	D	N	A	SA	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q1	The TA was helpful when I requested course-related assistance.	0	0	2	5	13	20	4.6	5	5	.67
Q2	The TA was well-prepared for their duties.	1	1	0	5	14	21	4.4	5	5	1.05
Q3	The TA was readily available to me either through office hours or by appointment.	0	1	1	4	13	19	4.5	5	5	.82
Q4	The TA communciated at an appropriate level for me.	0	1	2	2	13	18	4.5	5	5	.90
Q5	The TA exhibited interest in the subject matter.	0	2	1	4	11	18	4.3	5	5	1
Q6	The TA showed knowledge of the subject matter.	0	1	0	6	13	20	4.6	5	5	.74
Q7	The TA presented information clearly.	0	1	1	6	12	20	4.5	5	5	.80
Q8	The TA was effective at stimulating interest in the subject matter.	0	1	3	5	8	17	4.2	4	5	.92
Q9	The TA was receptive to a variety of perspectives and ideas.	0	1	1	5	9	16	4.4	5	5	.86
Q10	The TA treated me and other students with equal respect.	0	0	2	5	11	18	4.5	5	5	.69
Q11	The TA's comments on my written work were helpful.	1	1	2	3	8	15	4.1	5	5	1.24
Q12	The TA encouraged intelligent and independent thought.	0	1	2	4	9	16	4.3	5	5	.92
Q13	The TA's evaluation of my work was fair and reasonable.	0	2	4	7	7	20	4.0	4	4,5	.97
Q14	The TA made a very positive contribution to this course.	1	1	2	5	8	17	4.1	4	5	1.16

Responses: [SD] Strongly Disagree=1 [D] Disagree=2 [N] Neutral=3 [A] Agree=4 [SA] Strongly Agree=5

*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions*		Hicks, Jeffrey									
		Responses					Individual				
		VP	P	N	G	VG	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q15	How would you rate the overall contribution of the TA to the course?	1	0	3	6	11	21	4.2	5	5	1.02

Responses: [VP] Very Poor=1 [P] Poor=2 [N] Neutral=3 [G] Good=4 [VG] Very Good=5

*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions*		Hicks, Jeffrey											
		Responses							Individual				
		LDG	HOH	ME	ME	GCL	CL	RTE	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q16	Which, if any, of the following did your Teaching Assistant do? (Please mark each element that is appropriate)	2	17	22	2	1	0	9	53	3.4	3	3	1.78

Responses: [LDG] Led discussion-tutorial groups=1 [HOH] Held office hours=2 [ME] marked examination(s)=3 [ME] marked essay(s)-assignment(s)=4 [GCL] gave classroom lectures=5 [CL] conducted labs=6 [RTE] responded to email=7

*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Questions*		Hicks, Jeffrey									
		Responses					Individual				
		N	LT2	2	5	GT7	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q17	Of the sessions in which the Teaching Assistant was involved, how often did you attend?	11	11	3	4	3	32	2.3	2	1,2	1.30

Responses: [N] None=1 [LT2] Less than 25%=2 [2] 25-50%=3 [5] 51-75%=4 [GT7] Greater than 75%=5

*Arts Teaching Assistant (TA) Course Question*		ECON 370 - 001									
		Responses					Course				
		VP	P	N	G	VG	N	Mean	Med.	Mode	Std Dev
Q18	Considering everything how would you rate this course?	1	1	2	12	12	28	4.2	4	4,5	.97

Responses: [VP] Very Poor=1 [P] Poor=2 [N] Neutral=3 [G] Good=4 [VG] Very Good=5

Q19 - Please comment on any aspects, positive or negative, of your teaching assistant's (TA's) teaching, attitudes to students, class atmosphere, or any other matters affecting the quality of instruction that you consider worthy of note.

<b>Faculty:</b>	Hicks, Jeffrey
<b>Response Rate:</b>	18.18% (6 of 33)
1	very supportive
2	N/A
3	I never went to office hours. But the exams were marked relatively promptly!
4	I had very limited contact with the TA. He only proctored and marked exams, as far as I am aware.
5	He is very patient and really concerned about the students. Very helpful.

6	Dr. Chapple speaks highly of our TA Jeffrey that he knows very well about what we are studying.
---	---

**Q20 - Please comment on any aspects, positive or negative, of the format and content of the course as they may have affected the teaching assistant's performance.**

<b>Faculty:</b>	Hicks, Jeffrey
-----------------	----------------

<b>Response Rate:</b>	12.12% (4 of 33)
-----------------------	------------------

1	well prepared
---	---------------

2	The format of the course did not allow for the TA to be particularly involved beyond grading exams.
---	---

3	N/A
---	-----

4	I had very limited contact with the TA. He only proctored and marked exams, as far as I am aware.
---	---